February 26, 1994
5:00 p.m. EST

To: Rex Harvey       Fax: 1-216-531-0038
From: Al Sheahen       Fax: 1-818-989-7118

Rex: Here are the factors we agreed upon last night for the men's high and long jump, along with my proposals for the pole vault and triple jump. Also listed are my proposals for the women's jumps, based purely on a 20% increase in the corresponding men's factors. The 20% increase seemed to match up with the "5%" figures you used, although it's substantially higher than the 6 to 10% we used for the running events.

In checking these figures, they match up pretty well to the WAVA-approved Sept. 1 figures. Check them over.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OC</th>
<th>HJ</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>LJ</th>
<th>TJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>9993</td>
<td>9814</td>
<td>9770</td>
<td>9270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35</td>
<td>10625</td>
<td>10643</td>
<td>10520</td>
<td>10010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40</td>
<td>11265</td>
<td>11480</td>
<td>11278</td>
<td>10780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M45</td>
<td>11915</td>
<td>12333</td>
<td>12052</td>
<td>11590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50</td>
<td>12577</td>
<td>13214</td>
<td>12854</td>
<td>12450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M55</td>
<td>13253</td>
<td>14139</td>
<td>13700</td>
<td>13370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M60</td>
<td>13945</td>
<td>15128</td>
<td>14610</td>
<td>14360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M65</td>
<td>14657</td>
<td>16205</td>
<td>15608</td>
<td>15430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M70</td>
<td>15397</td>
<td>17398</td>
<td>16722</td>
<td>16590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M75</td>
<td>16181</td>
<td>18739</td>
<td>18008</td>
<td>17850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M80</td>
<td>17041</td>
<td>20292</td>
<td>19578</td>
<td>19310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M85</td>
<td>18041</td>
<td>22185</td>
<td>21656</td>
<td>21170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M90</td>
<td>19309</td>
<td>24674</td>
<td>24690</td>
<td>23830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M95</td>
<td>21101</td>
<td>28271</td>
<td>29576</td>
<td>28090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M100</td>
<td>23929</td>
<td>34000</td>
<td>38106</td>
<td>35550</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OC</th>
<th>HJ</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>LJ</th>
<th>TJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>W30</td>
<td>9992</td>
<td>9777</td>
<td>9724</td>
<td>10124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W35</td>
<td>10750</td>
<td>10772</td>
<td>10624</td>
<td>10012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W40</td>
<td>11518</td>
<td>11776</td>
<td>11534</td>
<td>10936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W45</td>
<td>12298</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>12462</td>
<td>11908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W50</td>
<td>13092</td>
<td>13857</td>
<td>13425</td>
<td>12940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W55</td>
<td>13904</td>
<td>14967</td>
<td>14440</td>
<td>14044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W60</td>
<td>14734</td>
<td>16154</td>
<td>15532</td>
<td>15232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W65</td>
<td>15588</td>
<td>17446</td>
<td>16730</td>
<td>16516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W70</td>
<td>16476</td>
<td>18878</td>
<td>18066</td>
<td>17908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W75</td>
<td>17417</td>
<td>20487</td>
<td>19609</td>
<td>19420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W80</td>
<td>18449</td>
<td>22350</td>
<td>21494</td>
<td>21172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W85</td>
<td>19649</td>
<td>22622</td>
<td>23987</td>
<td>23404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90</td>
<td>21171</td>
<td>27609</td>
<td>27628</td>
<td>26596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W95</td>
<td>23322</td>
<td>31925</td>
<td>33491</td>
<td>31708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W100</td>
<td>26715</td>
<td>38800</td>
<td>43727</td>
<td>40660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tues. March 1, 1994
12:15 a.m. EST

Rex: After reviewing all of our proposed jump factors/standards from 1989 through now (see following four pages), I feel these are the best we can do.

They don't exactly follow the "percentage of loss" theory, but do follow the empirical data pretty well.

And, if you plot them on a logarithmic chart (as I did a year ago, see chart), they look pretty good.

I talked with Mulkey last night, and he agrees with me, with Chuck, and with you (based on your agreement in our 3-way phone conversation with Chuck Sunday) that the empirical curve works better than the linear curve.

P.S. I called the fax service people. A new guy said I didn't have to attach the fax to the answering machine. All I had to do was turn on "auto" on the fax. He sent me a fax and it worked easily. Call me and we'll try it.
February 26, 1994
5:00 p.m. EST

To: Rex Harvey
From: Al Sheahen
Fax: 1-216-531-0038
Fax: 1-818-989-7118

Rex: Here are the factors we agreed upon last night for the men's high and long jump, along with my proposals for the pole vault and triple jump. Also listed are my proposals for the women's jumps, based purely on a 20% increase in the corresponding men's factors. The 20% increase seemed to match up with the "5%" figures you used, although it's substantially higher than the 6 to 10% we used for the running events.

In checking these figures, they match up pretty well to the WAVA-approved Sept. 1 figures. Check them over.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OC</th>
<th>HJ</th>
<th>PV</th>
<th>LJ</th>
<th>TJ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>9993</td>
<td>9814</td>
<td>9770</td>
<td>9270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35</td>
<td>10625</td>
<td>10643</td>
<td>10520</td>
<td>10010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40</td>
<td>11265</td>
<td>11480</td>
<td>11278</td>
<td>10780</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M45</td>
<td>11915</td>
<td>12333</td>
<td>12052</td>
<td>11590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M50</td>
<td>12577</td>
<td>13214</td>
<td>12854</td>
<td>12450</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M55</td>
<td>13253</td>
<td>14139</td>
<td>13700</td>
<td>13370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M60</td>
<td>13945</td>
<td>15128</td>
<td>14610</td>
<td>14360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M65</td>
<td>14657</td>
<td>16205</td>
<td>15608</td>
<td>15430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M70</td>
<td>15397</td>
<td>17398</td>
<td>16722</td>
<td>16590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M75</td>
<td>16181</td>
<td>18739</td>
<td>18008</td>
<td>17850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M80</td>
<td>17041</td>
<td>20292</td>
<td>19578</td>
<td>19310</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M85</td>
<td>18041</td>
<td>22185</td>
<td>21656</td>
<td>21170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M90</td>
<td>19309</td>
<td>24674</td>
<td>24690</td>
<td>23830</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M95</td>
<td>21101</td>
<td>28271</td>
<td>29576</td>
<td>28090</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M100</td>
<td>23929</td>
<td>34000</td>
<td>38106</td>
<td>35550</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W30</td>
<td>9992</td>
<td>9777</td>
<td>9724</td>
<td>9124</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W35</td>
<td>10750</td>
<td>10772</td>
<td>10624</td>
<td>10012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W40</td>
<td>11518</td>
<td>11776</td>
<td>11534</td>
<td>10936</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W45</td>
<td>12298</td>
<td>12800</td>
<td>12462</td>
<td>11908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W50</td>
<td>13092</td>
<td>13857</td>
<td>13425</td>
<td>12940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W55</td>
<td>13904</td>
<td>14967</td>
<td>14440</td>
<td>14044</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W60</td>
<td>14734</td>
<td>16154</td>
<td>15532</td>
<td>15232</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W65</td>
<td>15588</td>
<td>17446</td>
<td>16730</td>
<td>16516</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W70</td>
<td>16476</td>
<td>18878</td>
<td>18066</td>
<td>17908</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W75</td>
<td>17417</td>
<td>20487</td>
<td>19609</td>
<td>19420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W80</td>
<td>18449</td>
<td>22350</td>
<td>21494</td>
<td>21172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W85</td>
<td>19649</td>
<td>22622</td>
<td>23987</td>
<td>23404</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90</td>
<td>21171</td>
<td>27609</td>
<td>27628</td>
<td>26596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W95</td>
<td>23322</td>
<td>31925</td>
<td>33491</td>
<td>31708</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W100</td>
<td>26715</td>
<td>38800</td>
<td>43727</td>
<td>40660</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tues. March 1, 1994
12:15 a.m. EST

Rex: After reviewing all of our proposed jump factors/standards from 1989 through now (see following four pages), I feel these are the best we can do.

They don't exactly follow the "percentage of loss" theory, but do follow the empirical data pretty well.

And, if you plot them on a logarithmic chart (as I did a year ago, see chart), they look pretty good.

I talked with Mulkey last night, and he agrees with me, with Chuck, and with you (based on your agreement in our 3-way phone conversation with Chuck Sunday) that the empirical curve works better than the linear curve.

P.S. I called the fax service people. A new guy said I didn't have to attach the fax to the answering machine. All I had to do was turn on "auto" on the fax. He sent me a fax and it worked easily. Call me and we'll try it.

PPS. These are also close to the WAVA-approved factors
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>WAVA</th>
<th>REX</th>
<th>AL</th>
<th>REX</th>
<th>CHUCU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>OC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>1000</td>
<td>9993</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10210</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>10000</td>
<td>9993</td>
<td>10000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>10660</td>
<td>10593</td>
<td>10500</td>
<td>10625</td>
<td>10638</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>11140</td>
<td>11188</td>
<td>11063</td>
<td>11205</td>
<td>11157</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>11720</td>
<td>11799</td>
<td>11689</td>
<td>11915</td>
<td>11728</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>12350</td>
<td>12438</td>
<td>12378</td>
<td>12577</td>
<td>12361</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>13150</td>
<td>13121</td>
<td>13030</td>
<td>13253</td>
<td>13067</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>13920</td>
<td>13868</td>
<td>13945</td>
<td>13945</td>
<td>13857</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>14660</td>
<td>14703</td>
<td>14823</td>
<td>14657</td>
<td>14750</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>15370</td>
<td>15654</td>
<td>15764</td>
<td>15397</td>
<td>15766</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>16550</td>
<td>16753</td>
<td>16768</td>
<td>16181</td>
<td>16932</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>17600</td>
<td>18064</td>
<td>17898</td>
<td>17041</td>
<td>17284</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>18720</td>
<td>19715</td>
<td>19280</td>
<td>18041</td>
<td>19870</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>19910</td>
<td>21962</td>
<td>21166</td>
<td>19309</td>
<td>21758</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>25917</td>
<td>24060</td>
<td>21101</td>
<td>21643</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>30804</td>
<td>28970</td>
<td>23919</td>
<td>26864</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OC</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2/26/94</td>
<td>2.42</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.44</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.45</td>
<td>2.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.27</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>2.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.18</td>
<td>2.21</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.07</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.86</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.66</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>1.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>1.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.44</td>
<td>1.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>85</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>1.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>90</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>1.15</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>